

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/717,042	09/20/96	FALLEY		I	30-37441	
		QM32/0627	コ		EXAMINER	
VIRGINIA S.	ANDREWS	And the American Action of the American		ELOSHWAY,N		
ALLIEDSIGNA	L INC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LAW DEPARTM P O BOX 31 PETERSBURG				3727 DATE MAILED:	: 06/27/01 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
è		08/717,04		PALLEY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
			shway	3727					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the district of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136 (a). In no evaluon. 1ys, a reply within the state, period will apply and with statute. cause the apply statute.	ent, however, may a reply lutory minimum of thirty (30) Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this com ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>17 <i>April 2001</i></u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)		non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the app	olication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,48-50 and 54-66 is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13-47 and 51-53</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claims are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.									
11)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. ≬ 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).									
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim t	or domesuc phont	y unuer 35 O.S.C.	γ 11 <i>9(6)</i> .					
A44 4	w.)				,				
Attachmen		·	19) Distancion Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No	(e)				
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			ormal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 08/717,042

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. The finality of the previous Office Action (P.N. 18) is withdrawn. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

Election/Restriction

2. Claims 12, 48-50 and 54-66 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected species. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 20-28, 30, 33-43, 45, 47, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sacks (U.S. 5,249,534) in view of MacDonald et al. (U.S. 3,822,807). Sacks discloses the claimed invention except for the blast mitigating material. MacDonald et al. teach that it is known to provide a container with foam. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the container of Sacks with the foam of MacDonald et al., in order to prevent a rise in pressure within the container.

Application/Control Number: 08/717,042

Art Unit: 3727

Sacks teaches a container set forth in col. 1 line 43 through col. 2 line 23. The bands of Sacks can be made of SPECTRA, as set forth in col. 1 lines 33-42. This SPECTRA material is the same material used in applicant's invention, and therefore has the same characteristics.

- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 20, 23, 27, 29, 31,33, 35, 38, 42, 44, 46, 47 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sacks in view of Gettle et al. Sacks discloses the claimed invention except for the blast mitigating material. Gettle et al. teach that it is known to provide a container with aqueous foam (see line 11 of the Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the container of Sacks with the aqueous foam of Gettle et al., in order to attenuate pressure waves.
- 6. Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sacks in view of MacDonald et al., as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Lewis (U.S. 0,674,009). The modified container of Sacks discloses the claimed invention except for the first and second bands being tubes. Lewis teaches that it is known to provide a container, made of three bands, wherein the first and second bands form tubes (see elements B and C). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified container of Sacks with the first and second bands forming tubes, as taught by Lewis, in order to protect the entire inner container, including the bottom wall thereof.
- 7. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sacks (U.S. 5,249,534) in view of MacDonald et al. (U.S. 3,822,807) and Lewis (U.S. 0,674,009). Sacks discloses the claimed invention except for the blast mitigating material and except for the bands forming tubes. MacDonald et al. teach that it is known to provide a container with foam. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the container of Sacks with the foam of MacDonald et al., in order to prevent a rise in pressure within the container.

Application/Control Number: 08/717,042

Art Unit: 3727

Lewis teaches that it is known to provide a container, made of three bands, wherein the first

and second bands form tubes (see elements B and C). It would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the modified container of Sacks

with the first and second bands forming tubes, as taught by Lewis, in order to protect the entire inner

container, including the bottom wall thereof.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of

responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice may be used for

filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants

who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of

your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the

examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is (703) 308-1606. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Customer

Service Office at (703) 306-5648.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

Page 4

Patent Examiner

June 25, 2001